

**The ICCVAM Dermal Corrosivity and Irritation
Working Group Proposed**

**ICCVAM MINIMUM PERFORMANCE STANDARDS:
IN VITRO MEMBRANE BARRIER TEST SYSTEMS FOR
SKIN CORROSION**

June 23, 2003

NOTICE

These minimum performance standards (MPS) are being proposed by the Interagency Coordinating Committee on the Validation of Alternative Methods (ICCVAM) Dermal Corrosivity and Irritation Working Group (DCIWG) for public review and comment. All public comments will be considered by the DCIWG and ICCVAM during development of the final ICCVAM MPS for this assay. Final ICCVAM MPS will be published as an addendum to the previously published ICCVAM report on this test method and will be forwarded to Federal agencies for their consideration.

The Dermal Corrosivity and Irritation Working Group of the
Interagency Coordinating Committee on the Validation of Alternative Methods

National Toxicology Program Interagency Center for the Evaluation of Alternative
Toxicological Methods

National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
National Institutes of Health
U.S. Public Health Service
Department of Health and Human Services

**ICCVAM MINIMUM PERFORMANCE STANDARDS:
IN VITRO MEMBRANE BARRIER TEST SYSTEMS FOR SKIN CORROSION**

1.0 PURPOSE AND BACKGROUND

This document describes the **minimum performance standards** (MPS) that should be met by *in vitro* membrane barrier test systems proposed for testing the skin corrosion hazard potential of chemicals. These MPS were developed by the Interagency Coordinating Committee on the Validation of Alternative Methods (ICCVAM) in response to a request by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to establish MPS for proprietary and nonproprietary *in vitro* skin corrosivity test methods previously evaluated and recommended by ICCVAM (1, 2). For future test methods evaluated by ICCVAM, MPS will be included as part of the test method recommendations forwarded to regulatory authorities.

2.0 INTRODUCTION

Prior to the acceptance of new test methods for regulatory testing applications, validation studies are conducted to assess reliability (i.e., the extent of intra- and inter-laboratory reproducibility) and accuracy (i.e., the ability of the test method to correctly predict or measure the biological effect of interest; also referred to as relevance) (1-5). The purpose of the proposed MPS are to communicate the basis on which new proprietary (e.g., copyrighted, trademarked, registered) and nonproprietary test methods have been determined to have sufficient accuracy and reliability for specific testing purposes. When a validated proprietary or nonproprietary test method is accepted for a regulatory testing application, U.S. regulatory authorities may provide MPS that can be used to evaluate the reliability and accuracy of other test methods, which are based on similar scientific principles and which measure or predict the same biological or toxic effect. The three elements of the proposed MPS are:

- Minimum procedural standards that identify essential structural, functional, and procedural components (e.g., procedural details, proper controls, morphologic structure and integrity of the test system, biological identity of key components, and expected biological responsiveness) of the validated test method. Adherence to the minimum procedural standards will help to assure that the proposed test method is based on the same concepts as the validated test method.

**ICCVAM MINIMUM PERFORMANCE STANDARDS:
IN VITRO MEMBRANE BARRIER TEST SYSTEMS FOR SKIN CORROSION**

- A minimum list of recommended reference chemicals that can be used to assess the accuracy and reliability characteristics of the proposed test method. The list includes substances that are representative of the chemical and product classes for which the validated test method is considered applicable, as well as substances that are representative of the range of responses (e.g., negative, weak to strong positive) that the validated test method is capable of measuring or predicting.
- The **accuracy** and **reliability** that should be achieved by the proposed test method when evaluated using the minimum list of reference chemicals.

2.1 Regulatory Rationale for Use of *In Vitro* Test Methods to Assess Skin Corrosivity

Skin corrosion refers to the destruction of skin through the epidermis into the dermis following exposure of the skin to a chemical substance. Skin corrosivity has traditionally been assessed by applying the test substance to the skin of living animals and assessing the extent of tissue damage after a fixed period of time (6, 7). Some regulatory authorities require determination of corrosivity using three categories of responses, as provided in Table 1 (7-9).

Table 1. Skin Corrosive Category and Subcategories

Corrosive Category (category 1) (applies to authorities not using subcategories)	Potential Corrosive Subclasses (only applies to some authorities)	Corrosive in ≥1 of 3 animals	
		Exposure	Observation
Corrosive	Corrosive subcategory 1A	≤3 minutes	≤1 hour
	Corrosive subcategory 1B	>3 minutes / ≤1 hour	≤14 days
	Corrosive subcategory 1C	>1 hour / ≤4 hours	≤14 days

The EPA test guideline (10) and a globally-harmonized tiered testing strategy (11) for the assessment of skin corrosivity allow for the use of validated and accepted *in vitro* methods.

**ICCVAM MINIMUM PERFORMANCE STANDARDS:
IN VITRO MEMBRANE BARRIER TEST SYSTEMS FOR SKIN CORROSION**

In both the EPA guidelines and the tiered testing strategy, positive results from *in vitro* test methods can be used to classify a substance as corrosive without the need for animal testing. Substances that are negative *in vitro* might undergo additional testing in accordance with the tiered testing strategy. The use of *in vitro* methods to identify corrosive substances can therefore avoid the pain and distress that might occur when animals are used for this purpose.

A number of *in vitro* test methods have been proposed as alternatives for the standard *in vivo* rabbit skin procedure to identify corrosive substances. Generally, these test methods involve the use of a cultured mammalian cell membrane matrix, isolated rat skin, or a noncellular, membrane barrier (12).

Validation studies have been completed for an *in vitro* membrane barrier test system commercially available as Corrositex[®] (1, 12-15). Based on its scientific validity, this test method has been recommended for use as part of a tiered testing strategy for assessing the dermal corrosion hazard potential of chemicals (1, 12-14, 16). This validated *in vitro* test method has also been accepted as an alternative method for evaluating the corrosive hazard of substances in some chemical classes (organic and inorganic acids, organic and inorganic acid derivatives¹], acyl halides, alkylamines and polyalkylamines, organic and inorganic bases, chlorosilanes, metal halides and oxyhalides) for transport purposes (17).

2.2 Principles of *In Vitro* Membrane Barrier Test Systems for Skin Corrosion

The basis of this test system is that it detects membrane damage caused by corrosive test substances (1). The test substance is first evaluated to determine if it is compatible with the test procedure (i.e., if it qualifies for testing). If compatible, the substance is evaluated for category of acid or base (strong or weak) to determine the appropriate time scale used to classify the potential corrosivity of the test substance. Finally, a compatible substance is applied to the surface of the artificial membrane barrier. The time it takes for the test

¹ “Acid derivative” is a non-specific class designation and is broadly defined as an acid produced from a chemical substance either directly or by modification or partial substitution. This class includes anhydrides, haloacids, salts, and other types of chemicals.

**ICCVAM MINIMUM PERFORMANCE STANDARDS:
IN VITRO MEMBRANE BARRIER TEST SYSTEMS FOR SKIN CORROSION**

substance to penetrate through the membrane barrier to an underlying indicator solution determines the corrosivity classification of that test substance. Penetration of the barrier (or breakthrough) might be measured by a number of procedures, including a color change in a pH indicator dye or other properties of the solution below the barrier (e.g., electrical conductivity).

Investigators using *in vitro* membrane barrier test systems for skin corrosion must be able to demonstrate that the assay is valid for its intended use. This includes demonstrating that different preparations are consistent in barrier properties (i.e., capable of maintaining a barrier to noncorrosive substances; able to categorize the corrosive properties of chemicals across the various subcategories of corrosivity, such as the United Nations [UN] Packing Group classification system). For *in vitro* membrane barrier test systems, the UN Packing Group classification assigned is based on the time it takes the test substance to penetrate through the membrane barrier and induce a color change in the underlying Chemical Detection System (CDS). The CDS changes color when a chemical or chemical mixture changes the pH of the solution to less than 4.5 or greater than 8.5.

In vitro membrane barrier test systems may be used to test solids, liquids, and emulsions. The liquids can be aqueous or nonaqueous; solids can be soluble or insoluble in water. The samples may be pure chemicals, dilutions, formulations, or waste. No prior treatment of the sample is required. A limitation of the validated *in vitro* membrane barrier test method is that many noncorrosive chemicals and chemical mixtures and some corrosive chemicals and chemical mixtures do not qualify for testing. Test chemicals and chemical mixtures are considered nonqualifying if they do not cause a color change in the CDS. Aqueous substances with a pH in the range of 4.5 to 8.5 often do not qualify for testing; however, 85% of chemicals tested in this pH range were noncorrosive in animal tests (1).

3.0 MINIMUM PROCEDURAL STANDARDS

The following is a description of the minimum procedural standards, including test method components, of *in vitro* membrane barrier test systems for corrosivity. A sample protocol for

**ICCVAM MINIMUM PERFORMANCE STANDARDS:
IN VITRO MEMBRANE BARRIER TEST SYSTEMS FOR SKIN CORROSION**

a validated and accepted version of this test guideline is available at <http://iccvam.niehs.nih.gov>.

3.1 Test Method Components (Membrane Barrier, Categorization Solutions, Indicator Solution)

3.1.1 Membrane Barrier

The membrane barrier consists of two components -- a proteinaceous macromolecular aqueous gel and an underlying, permeable supporting membrane. The proteinaceous gel, composed of protein (e.g., keratin, collagen, or mixtures of proteins) forming a gel matrix, serves as the target for the test substance. It should be impervious to liquids and solids but able to be corroded and made permeable, presumably by the same mechanism(s) of corrosion that operates on living skin. The permeable supporting membrane provides mechanical support to the proteinaceous gel during the gelling process and exposure to the test substance, preventing sagging or shifting of the gel. The supporting membrane should be readily permeable to test substances so as not to interfere with its passage through to the indicator solution. The proteinaceous material is placed on the surface of the supporting membrane and allowed to gel prior to placing the membrane barrier over the indicator solution. The proteinaceous gel should be of equal thickness and density throughout, and with no air bubbles or defects that could affect its permeability or response to a corrosive test substance. The fully constructed membrane barrier should be stored under predetermined conditions shown to preclude deterioration of the gel (drying, microbial growth, etc) or loss of uniformity (shifting or cracking), which would degrade its performance. The acceptable storage period should be determined and membrane barrier preparations not used after that period.

3.1.2 Test Substance Categorization System

Experience with the validated reference system has shown that “strong” acids or bases and “weak” acids or bases behave somewhat differently in the time required to breakthrough the barrier membrane relative to their corrosive potential *in vivo*. Scoring of all test substances on a scale appropriate for the strong acids and bases led to an over prediction of corrosivity

**ICCVAM MINIMUM PERFORMANCE STANDARDS:
IN VITRO MEMBRANE BARRIER TEST SYSTEMS FOR SKIN CORROSION**

for the weak acids and bases. Thus, two scoring scales of breakthrough times are used to determine corrosivity (and UN Packing Group classification) or noncorrosivity for strong acids and bases and one for weak acids and bases. If a categorization system is used, objective criteria must be developed to place test substances into the appropriate categories for scoring. Changes in the pH of calibrated buffer solutions (one for acids and one for bases) could be used for this purpose. Specific ranges for strong and weak acids or bases should be defined.

3.1.3 Indicator Solution

An indicator solution responds to the presence of a test substance. This response can be assessed as an observable color change in a pH indicator dye, or by other types of chemical or electrochemical reactions. A pH-specific indicator dye or combination of dyes (e.g., cresol red and methyl orange) that will show a color change in response to the presence of the test substance can be used. The measurement system could be visual or electronic. Test substances must be determined to be capable of causing a measurable response in the indicator solution before they are considered qualified for evaluation in the test system.

3.2 Test Procedure

3.2.1 Test Substance Compatibility

Prior to testing, a qualification or compatibility test is performed to determine if the test substance can be detected by the indicator solution. The indicator system and the conditions of exposure used for the compatibility test must reflect the exposure in the subsequent corrosivity test. If the test substance is not detectable by the indicator solution, then the test system cannot be used to evaluate the corrosivity of that test substance.

3.2.2 Test Substance Categorization

If appropriate for the assay, a test substance categorization test would be performed so that the correct range of exposure times would be employed in the assay for the test article(s) and so that substances would be assigned to the appropriate scoring scale.

**ICCVAM MINIMUM PERFORMANCE STANDARDS:
IN VITRO MEMBRANE BARRIER TEST SYSTEMS FOR SKIN CORROSION**

3.2.3 Assembly of the Test Method Components

The membrane barrier is positioned in a vial (or tube) containing the indicator solution so that the supporting membrane is in full contact with the indicator solution and with no air bubbles present. Care should be taken to ensure that barrier integrity is maintained.

3.2.4 Application of Test Substances

The assay is performed at room temperature (17-25°C), and a test substance is at room temperature when applied. A suitable amount of the test substance (e.g., 500 µL of liquid or 500 mg finely powdered solid) for the validated reference method (15) is carefully layered onto the upper surface of the membrane barrier and distributed evenly. An appropriate number of replicates (e.g., four, as is used in the validated reference method) are prepared for each test substance and the concurrent controls. The time of addition of the test substance is recorded. To ensure that short corrosion times can be accurately recorded, the application times of the test substance to the replicate vials are staggered.

3.2.5 Control Substances

Solvent Controls: In tests that involve the use of a vehicle or solvent with the test substance, the vehicle or solvent must be compatible with the barrier system (i.e., not alter the integrity of the membrane barrier system) and must not alter the corrosivity of the test substance. When applicable, solvent (or vehicle) controls should be tested concurrently with the test substance to demonstrate the compatibility of the solvent with the barrier system.

Positive (Corrosive) Controls: A positive control (e.g., sodium hydroxide pellets) should be tested concurrently with the test substance to demonstrate the suitability of the test system. The chemical selected as the positive control should allow detection of penetration through the barrier membrane that is intermediate within the range of corrosive responses for the test method. Thus, extremely corrosive (UN Packing Group I) or noncorrosive chemicals are of limited utility, while a Packing Group II substance would allow detection of a too rapid or too slow breakthrough time. To measure performance of the test method close to the cut off time between corrosive and noncorrosive, a weak Packing Group III substance might be

**ICCVAM MINIMUM PERFORMANCE STANDARDS:
IN VITRO MEMBRANE BARRIER TEST SYSTEMS FOR SKIN CORROSION**

employed. An acceptable positive control response range must be developed based on the historical range of breakthrough times for the positive control(s) employed. In each study, the positive control should be evaluated to determine if the breakthrough time is within the acceptable positive control range.

Negative (Noncorrosive) Controls: A noncorrosive substance (e.g., 10% citric acid, 6% propionic acid) should also be tested concurrently with the test substance as another quality control measure to demonstrate the functional integrity of the membrane barrier.

Benchmark Controls: Benchmark controls, which are known corrosive and noncorrosive chemicals of the same chemical class as the test chemical, may be useful as additional indicators of the relative corrosivity potential of the test chemical.

3.2.6 Measurement of Membrane Barrier Penetration

Each vial is appropriately monitored and the time of the first change in the indicator solution (i.e., barrier penetration) is recorded. The difference in time between application of the test substance and penetration of the membrane barrier is determined.

3.2.7 Interpretation of Results

According to the established time parameters for each UN Packing Group, the time (in minutes) elapsed between application of the test substance and barrier penetration is used to predict the corrosivity of a test substance. For a test to be considered acceptable, the concurrent positive control must give the expected penetration response time, and, when included, the concurrent solvent control must not be corrosive.

3.2.8 Classification of Test Substances

The time (in minutes) elapsed between application and appearance of a color change in the CDS is used to classify the test substance in terms of corrosivity and, if applicable, UN transportation Packing Group.

**ICCVAM MINIMUM PERFORMANCE STANDARDS:
IN VITRO MEMBRANE BARRIER TEST SYSTEMS FOR SKIN CORROSION**

3.2.9 Test Report

The test report should include the following information:

Test and Control Substances

- Chemical name(s) such as Chemical Abstract Services (CAS) preferred name and Registry Number (RN), followed by other names, if known
- Purity and composition of the substance or preparation (in percentage[s] by weight)
- Physicochemical properties such as physical state, volatility, pH, stability, chemical class, water solubility relevant to the conduct of the study
- Treatment of the test/control substances prior to testing, if applicable (e.g., warming, grinding)
- Stability, if known

Justification of the Test Method and Protocol UsedTest Conditions

- Apparatus and preparation procedures used
- Source and composition of the biological membrane barrier
- Composition and properties of the qualification and detection solutions
- Method of measurement of effect
- Details of test procedure used (e.g., test substance amounts, number of replicates, method of application, observation times)
- Description of any modifications of the test procedure
- Reference to historical data of the model
- Description of the evaluation and classification criteria used

Results

- Tabulation of test results from individual test samples; (i.e., the time in minutes elapsed between application and barrier penetration for the test substance and the positive, negative, solvent, and benchmark controls reported as individual replicate data, as well as means \pm the standard deviation for each trial)

**ICCVAM MINIMUM PERFORMANCE STANDARDS:
IN VITRO MEMBRANE BARRIER TEST SYSTEMS FOR SKIN CORROSION**

Description of Other Effects Observed

Discussion of the Results

Conclusion

4.0 REFERENCE CHEMICALS

Reference chemicals are used to determine if the performance of a proposed *in vitro* membrane barrier system is comparable to that of the validated *in vitro* test method. The 40 reference chemicals listed in Table 2 include chemicals representing the chemical classes of interest and the range of corrosivity responses (i.e., noncorrosive; Packing Group I, II, and III corrosives) obtained for the *in vivo* reference test method. These 40 chemicals consist of eight acid derivatives, eight inorganic acids, eight organic acids, seven organic bases, two acid esters, four inorganic bases, one electrophile, one quaternary ammonium, and one surfactant. They represent the minimum number that should be used to evaluate the performance of a proposed *in vitro* membrane barrier test system for skin corrosion. In situations where a listed chemical is unavailable, other chemicals or products for which adequate *in vivo* reference data are available could be used. If desired, additional chemicals representing other chemical or product classes and for which adequate *in vivo* reference data are available can be added to the minimum list of reference chemicals to further evaluate the performance characteristics of the proposed test method.

The goal of the reference chemical selection process was to include, to the extent possible, qualifying chemicals that:

- Were representative of the range of corrosivity responses (e.g., negative; UN Packing Groups I, II, and III) that the validated *in vitro* test method is capable of measuring or predicting
- Were representative of the chemical classes used in the validation process

**ICCVAM MINIMUM PERFORMANCE STANDARDS:
IN VITRO MEMBRANE BARRIER TEST SYSTEMS FOR SKIN CORROSION**

Table 2. Recommended Chemicals for Validation of New *In Vitro* Membrane Corrosivity Test Methods

Chemical ¹	CASRN	Chemical Class	Conc ² (%)	UN <i>In Vivo</i> PG	Validated Test Method PG	pH ²
Fluorosulfonic acid	7789-21-1	inorganic acid	neat	I	I	0
Nitric acid	7697-37-2	inorganic acid	90	I	I	0
Phosphorus pentachloride	10026-13-8	inorganic acid	98	I	I	0
Selenic acid	7783-08-6	inorganic acid	95	I	I	0
Boron trifluoride dehydrate	13319-75-0	inorganic acid	96	I	I	0.4
Phosphorus tribromide	7789-60-8	inorganic acid	97	I	I	1.0
Sulfuric acid, 10% wt.	7664-93-9	inorganic acid	10	I	I	1.2
Benzyl chloroformate	501-53-1	acid derivative	95	I	NC	2.5
1,2-Diaminopropane	78-90-0	organic base	NA	I	II	8.3
Phosphoric acid	7664-38-2	inorganic acid	85	II	II	0.4
Valeryl chloride	638-29-9	acid derivative	98	II	II	0.5
Acetic acid	64-19-7	organic acid	99+	II	II	1.9
Caprylic acid	124-07-2	organic acid	95	II	NC	2.7
Capric:caprylic acid (45:55)	68937-75-7	organic acid	95	II	NC	3.0
Ammonium hydrogen difluoride	1341-49-7	acid derivative	98	II	II	5.2
1-(2-Aminoethyl) piperazine	140-31-8	organic base	99	II	II	11.8
Ethanolamine	141-43-5	organic base	99+	II	II	11.8
Sodium hydroxide	1310-73-2	inorganic base	100	II	II	13.8
Cyanuric chloride	108-77-0	acid derivative	99	III	III	1.7
Benzenesulfonyl chloride	98-09-9	acid derivative	neat	III	III	1.8
Crotonic acid	107-93-7	organic acid	99+	III	III	2.3
Butyric anhydride	106-31-0	acid derivative	99	III	III	3.1
Hydroxylamine sulfate	10039-54-0	organic acid	97+	III	III	3.6
2-Methylbutyric acid	600-07-7	organic acid	NA	III	III	3.6
Dicyclohexylamine	101-83-7	organic base	99	III	III	9.6
<i>N,N</i> -Dimethyl benzylamine	103-83-3	organic base	99	III	III	10.7
Tetraethylenepent-amine	112-57-2	organic base	neat	III	III	11.9
2-Ethylhexylamine	104-75-6	organic base	98	III	III	12.0

**ICCVAM MINIMUM PERFORMANCE STANDARDS:
IN VITRO MEMBRANE BARRIER TEST SYSTEMS FOR SKIN CORROSION**

Chemical ¹	CASRN	Chemical Class	Conc ² (%)	UN <i>In Vivo</i> PG	Validated Test Method PG	pH ²
Maleic acid	110-16-7	organic acid	99	NC	II	1.3
Copper(II) chloride	7447-39-4	acid derivative	97	NC	II	3.0
Eugenol	97-53-0	organic acid	NA	NC	NC	3.7
Chromium(III) fluoride	7788-97-8	acid derivative	97	NC	NC	3.9
Cinnamaldehyde	14371-10-9	electrophile	100	NC	NC	3.9
Ethyl triglycol methacrylate	39670-09-2	acid ester	neat	NC	NC	4.5
Nonyl acrylate	2664-55-3	acid ester	neat	NC	NC	6.9
Benzalkonium chloride	8001-54-5	quaternary ammonium	100	NC	NC	7.6
Sodium acid carbonate	144-55-8	inorganic base	100	NC	NC	8.3
Sodium undecylenate	3398-33-2	surfactant	33	NC	NC	8.3
Sodium carbonate, 50% aqueous	497-19-8	inorganic base	100	NC	II	11.7
Calcium carbonate	471-34-1	inorganic base	neat	NC	NC	12.6

Abbreviations: CASRN = Chemical Abstracts Service Registry Number; Conc = concentration; NA = not available; NC = noncorrosive; PG = Packing Group; UN = United Nations.

¹These chemicals, sorted first by *in vivo* rabbit skin corrosivity response and then by pH, represent the range of chemical classes and corrosivity responses [e.g., negative; UN Packing Groups I, II, and III] used to validate Corrositex® (1). The goal of the selection process was to include, to the extent possible, chemicals that: were representative of the range of corrosivity responses (e.g., negative; UN Packing Groups I, II, and III) that the validated *in vitro* test method is capable of measuring or predicting; were representative of the chemical classes used in the validation process; reflected the accuracy of the validated *in vitro* test method, as determined during the ICCVAM evaluation process; have a chemical structure that was well-defined; induced reproducible results in the validated *in vitro* test method; induced definitive results in the *in vivo* reference test; were commercially available; and were not associated with prohibitive disposal costs.

²Chemical class assigned by Barratt et al. (13) and InVitro International, as provided to ICCVAM (1).

³The concentration tested and the pH values were obtained from the original sources as indicated in (1).

- Reflected the accuracy of the validated *in vitro* test method, as determined during the ICCVAM evaluation process
- Have a chemical structure that was well-defined
- Induced reproducible results in the validated *in vitro* test method

**ICCVAM MINIMUM PERFORMANCE STANDARDS:
IN VITRO MEMBRANE BARRIER TEST SYSTEMS FOR SKIN CORROSION**

- Induced definitive results in the *in vivo* reference test
- Were commercially available
- Were not associated with prohibitive disposal costs

The distribution of chemicals in this list by corrosivity and UN Packing Group classification are:

- 12 Noncorrosive Chemicals
- 28 Corrosive Chemicals
 - 9 UN Packing Group I
 - 9 UN Packing Group II
 - 10 UN Packing Group III

5.0 ACCURACY AND RELIABILITY

When evaluated using the minimum list of recommended reference chemicals in Table 2, the reliability and accuracy (i.e., sensitivity, specificity, false positive rates, and false negative rates) of the proposed *in vitro* membrane test method should be at least comparable to that of the validated *in vitro* membrane barrier test method (1). Noncorrosive and corrosive chemicals, ranging in activity from strong to weak, and representing relevant chemical classes are included so that the performance of the proposed test method can be determined and compared to that of the validated *in vitro* test method. For purposes of transportation hazard classification, the list of corrosive chemicals also covers the range of UN Packing Group classifications (1, 16). Including these substances will allow for the determination of whether the breakthrough times used to assign test substances to different UN Packing Groups are appropriate. The penetration times associated with the assignment of each UN Packing Group (or other classification) must be determined for each composition of barrier, indicator, and categorization system. The reliability of the proposed *in vitro* test system, as well as its ability to over- and under-predict known corrosive substances, should be determined prior to testing new chemicals.

**ICCVAM MINIMUM PERFORMANCE STANDARDS:
IN VITRO MEMBRANE BARRIER TEST SYSTEMS FOR SKIN CORROSION**

Table 3. Accuracy of the Validated *In Vitro* Membrane Barrier Test System for Skin Corrosion¹

Source	# of Chemicals	Sensitivity ²	Specificity ²	False Negative Rate ²	False Positive Rate ²	UN Packing Group Accuracy ²
MPS Reference Chemicals	40	89% (25/28)	75% (9/12)	11% (3/28)	25% (3/12)	96% (24/25)
Complete Validation Database	163	85% (76/89)	70% (52/74)	15% (13/89)	30% (22/74)	Not Determined

Definitions: Sensitivity is defined as the proportion of all positive chemicals that are correctly classified as positive in a test. Specificity is defined as the proportion of all negative chemicals that are correctly classified as negative in a test. False positive rate is defined as the proportion of all negative chemicals or chemical mixtures that are falsely identified as positive. False negative rate is defined as the proportion of all positive chemicals or chemical mixtures that are falsely identified as negative. Packing Group Accuracy reflects the frequency with which Corrositex® correctly assigned the UN Packing Group classification to a substance the *in vitro* test method correctly classified as corrosive.

¹Based on data in ICCVAM (1); the complete validation database is limited to those chemicals that qualified for testing in Corrositex. The accuracy of the validated *in vitro* membrane barrier test system in correctly identifying the corrosivity potential of the reference chemicals and the corresponding values obtained for the complete database reviewed during the ICCAM evaluation process are not identical due to the constraints associated with the reference chemical selection process. The goal of the selection process was to include chemicals that were representative of the range of corrosivity responses (e.g., negative; UN Packing Groups I, II, and III) that the validated *in vitro* test method is capable of measuring or predicting; were representative of the chemical classes used in the validation process; reflected the accuracy of the validated *in vitro* test method, as determined during the ICCVAM evaluation process; have a chemical structure that was well-defined; induced reproducible results in the validated *in vitro* test method; induced definitive results in the *in vivo* reference test; were commercially available; and were not associated with prohibitive disposal costs.

²In this analysis (see ICCVAM [1]), a substance is first classified as positive or negative for corrosivity within each laboratory based on the majority of test results obtained (when replicate testing was conducted). Next, the substance is classified as positive or negative for corrosivity based on the majority of test results obtained in multiple laboratories (when multiple laboratory studies were conducted).

The accuracy of the validated *in vitro* membrane barrier test method for the 40 reference chemicals, and the corresponding values obtained for the complete database considered by ICCVAM in its evaluation of this assay are summarized in Table 3. The accuracy of the validated *in vitro* membrane barrier test method for the reference chemicals and the

**ICCVAM MINIMUM PERFORMANCE STANDARDS:
IN VITRO MEMBRANE BARRIER TEST SYSTEMS FOR SKIN CORROSION**

corresponding values obtained for the total database compiled during the ICCAM evaluation process are not identical due to constraints associated with the chemical selection process.

The reliability of the proposed test method should also be comparable to that of the validated reference method. However, an assessment of inter-laboratory reproducibility is not essential if the test method is to be used in one laboratory only. The overall inter-laboratory reproducibility of the proposed *in vitro* membrane barrier test method for correctly classifying the UN Packing group of a test substance detected as corrosive should be at least 93% (1, 12). In terms of membrane breakthrough times, the overall median coefficient of variation (CV) should not exceed 30% for studies conducted in different laboratories and should not exceed 5% for replicate measurements within an experiment (1, 12).

6.0 REFERENCES

- (1) ICCVAM. 1999. Corrositex[®]: An *In Vitro* Test Method for Assessing Dermal Corrosivity Potential of Chemicals. NIH Publication No. 99-4495. Research Triangle Park, NC: National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences. Available: <http://iccvam.niehs.nih.gov/docs/reports/corprrep.pdf> [accessed 4 June 2003].
- (2) ICCVAM. 2002. ICCVAM Evaluation of EPISKIN, EpiDerm (EPI-200), and the Rat Skin Transcutaneous Electrical Resistance (TER) Assay: *In vitro* Test Methods for Assessing Dermal Corrosivity Potential of Chemicals. NIH Publication No. 02-4502. Research Triangle Park, NC. National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences. Available: <http://iccvam.niehs.nih.gov/methods/epiderm.htm> [accessed 4 June 2003].
- (3) ICCVAM. 1997. Validation and Regulatory Acceptance of Toxicological Test Methods. A Report of the *ad hoc* Interagency Coordinating Committee on the Validation of Alternative Methods. NIH Publication No. 97-3981. Research Triangle Park, NC. National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences. Available: <http://iccvam.niehs.nih.gov/docs/guidelines/validate.pdf> [accessed 4 June 2003].

**ICCVAM MINIMUM PERFORMANCE STANDARDS:
IN VITRO MEMBRANE BARRIER TEST SYSTEMS FOR SKIN CORROSION**

- (4) OECD. 1996. Final Report of the OECD Workshop on Harmonisation of Validation and Acceptance Criteria for Alternative Toxicological Methods. (Solna Report) Paris: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. Available: <http://www.oecd.org> [accessed 4 June 2003].
- (5) OECD. 2002. Report of the Stockholm Conference on Validation and Regulatory Acceptance of New and Updated Methods in Hazard Assessment. Paris: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. Available: <http://www.oecd.org> [accessed 4 June 2003].
- (6) OECD. 2002. Test Guideline 404. OECD Guideline for Testing of Chemicals: Acute Dermal Irritation/Corrosion. Paris: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. Available: <http://www1.oecd.org/ehs/test/health.htm> [accessed 4 June 2003].
- (7) U.S. EPA 1998. Health Effects Test Guidelines: Acute Dermal Irritation. OPPTS 870.2500. Washington, DC. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Available: http://www.epa.gov/docs/OPPTS_Harmonized/870_Health_Effects_Test_Guidelines/Series/870-2500.pdf [accessed 4 June 2003].
- (8) U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT). 2001. Shippers--General Requirements for Shipments and Packagings--Class 8 Definitions1. 49 CFR 173.136. Available: <http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/cfr-retrieve.html#page1> [accessed 4 June 2003]
- (9) U.S. DOT. 2001. Shippers--General Requirements for Shipments and Packagings--Class 8 Assignment of Packing Group. 49 CFR 173.137. Available: <http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/cfr-retrieve.html#page1> [accessed 4 June 2003]
- (10) U.S. EPA. 1996. Method 1120, Dermal Corrosion. Washington, DC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Available: <http://www.epa.gov/epaoswer/hazwaste/test/pdfs/1120.pdf> [accessed 4 June 2003].

**ICCVAM MINIMUM PERFORMANCE STANDARDS:
IN VITRO MEMBRANE BARRIER TEST SYSTEMS FOR SKIN CORROSION**

- (11) UN Economic Commission for Europe (ECE). 2001. Globally Harmonized System of Classification and Labeling of Chemicals (GHS). Geneva. Available: <http://www.unece.org/trans/danger/publi/ghs/ghs.html> [accessed 4 June 2003].

- (12) Fentem JH, Archer GEB, Balls M, Botham PA, Curren, RD, Earl, LK, et al. 1998. The ECVAM international validation study on *in vitro* tests for skin corrosivity. 2. Results and evaluation by the management team. *Toxicol. In Vitro* 12: 483-524.

- (13) Barratt MD, Brantom PG, Fentem JH, Gerner I, Walker AP, and Worth AP. 1998. The ECVAM international validation study on *in vitro* test for skin corrosivity. 1. Selection and Distribution of the Test Chemicals. *Toxicol In Vitro* 12:471-482.

- (14) Gordon VC, Harvell JD, and Maibach, HI. 1994. Dermal corrosion, the Corrositex System: A DOT accepted method to predict corrosivity potential of test materials. In: *In vitro Skin Toxicology - Irritation, Phototoxicity, Sensitization. Alternative Methods in Toxicology*. Rougier A, Goldberg AM, Maibach, HI, eds. 10: 37-45. Mary Ann Liebert, New York.

- (15) InVitro International. 1995. Corrositex Instruction Manual. Irvine, CA. Available: <http://www.invitrointl.com/> [accessed 4 June 2003].

- (16) European Centre for the Validation of Alternative Methods (ECVAM). 2001. Statement on the application of the CORROSITEX assay for skin corrosivity testing. 15th Meeting of European Centre for the Validation of Alternative Methods Scientific Advisory Committee (ESAC), Ispra, Italy. *ATLA* 29(2), 96-97.

- (17) U.S. DOT. 2002. Exemption DOT-E-10904 (Fifth Revision). Available: <http://hazmat.dot.gov/exemptions/E10904.pdf> [accessed 4 June 2003]